UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,187	12/30/2005	Christopher G. de Janasz	1043-005	5857
7590 05/15/2007 Michael N Haynes 1341 Huntersfield Close			EXAMINER LE, NANCY LOAN T	
Keswick, VA 2	2947		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/563,187	DE JANASZ, CHRISTOPHER G.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NANCY T. LE	3621	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence address			
equi	amendment document filed on <u>24 October 2006</u> is considered non-cirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment documes) is required.				
	FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT D 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	OOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
	 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 				
	 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top mare "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction showing amended figures, without markings, in compli C. Other 	n has been eliminated. Replacement drawings			
	 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pends of each claim has not been provided with the proper state of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdram D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented). E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	us identifier, and as such, the individual status f every claim must be indicated after its claim (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), wn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in account	ordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
or f	further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.1	121, see MPEP § 714.			
ГІМЕ	E PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
f	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendm filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	nent is an after-final amendment or an amendment ant after-final amendment with corrections, the			
(((Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if tamendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action				
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment in the in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment	nent is a non-final amendment or an amendment			
	amendment. Examiner war cle	08 May 2007			
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.			

Continuation of 4(e) Other: As the Attorney indicates in the 'Conclusion' section that "... in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the application as amended is in clear condition for allowance", the Amendments is expected to be found. However, upon reviewing the submitted documents, the Office just do not see it. Therefore, such submission is improper.

Moreover, it is unclear if the "amendments" are simply missing (ie lost in scanning) or nonexistant.

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600